Pri n

Co-Pri n

Turial Serices UNC Asse -Gra Data Report

Using the grant, Tutorial Se(Th)exonducted quantitative and qualitative analyses to evaluate observed and perceived student academic success. The quantitative analysis use undergraduate student/fenchester grades in a consultantive research dusign examine statistical significance between students using Tingp(inclependent variable) and their endsemester grades (dependent variable), for students using Tie

tutoring services. Frequencies was also measured within the treatment group there were significant correlations between the frequenceds Fickervices and tendof semester grades.

Math and science courses were selected to evaluate the effectiatemissg services. Students enrolled in Biology (BIO 110), Chemistry (CHEM 103 & 11 (MATH 124), and Calculus (MI 131) were observed based on two research quantum services.

Research Question 1: Do undergraduate students who traceing peerice significantly outperform undergraduate students not-tutilizing peerice measured by dofsemester mathematics and science grades?

Research Question 2: For students within the treatment group, is ther significant relationship between the number of visits ingreed conserved mathematics and science grades

Participants chosen for the baseline study were comprised of undergraduction of the classified as freshman, sophomore, junior, or senior, thatewhereneallas being of for 12 or more credit hours. Only participants that eardeds heter CgD, or F vincluded, and those that recorded an S, Where excluded from analyses. In add graduate students, student GPAs, entrance exams, and placement exams were determining placement of participants. Protechings involved a stratified rand

variables ostrengthen the focus of the baseline evaluation of semester grades.

A MannU Whitnetest was used to examine the difference treatment and comparison groups. A Spentionarestation analyses research question to determine if frequency relates with his Because tutoring visits used a ratio level of measurement a

Below, are the dings of the qualitative analysis conducted to identify dominant themes student responses to experiting surveys. Also following tables are a representation of our study conducted measuring the effectiveness of peer tutoring the transfer our findings and an additional section projecting our future directions in measureffectiveness of supplemental instruction will conclude this report.

Table 1 includes baseline demographic and descriptive datansnfquarticipatrses measured during the **2020**-academic year. Demographic data includes gender and ethnici Data for treatment and control groups are identified as well.

Table 1

Baseline Participation Demographic and Descriptive Data for All Courses (AY 2020-

Characterist	BIO 110	CHEM 103	CHEM 111	MATH 124	MATH 131
Gender					
Male	t=3 c=3	t=2 c=2	t=2 c=2	t=0 c=0	t=0 c=0
Female	t=56 c=56	t=15 c=15	t=15 c=15	t=4 c=4	t=4 c=4
Ethnicity					
White	t=38 c=38	t=14 c=14	t=10 c=10	t=3 c=3	t=2 c=2
Hispanic	t=14 c=14	t=3 c=3	t=5 c=5	t=0 c=0	t=2 c=2
African American	t=1 c=1	t=0 c=0	t=1 c=1	t=1 c=1	t=0 c=0
Asian/Other	t=6 c=6	t=0 c=0	t=0 c=0	t=0 c=0	t=0 c=0
Total	n=118	n=34	n=32	n=4	n=4

Note(t=) denotes treatment group and (c=) denotes comparison group.

## Table 2 includes data regarding the frequency

Table 3 data lithe results from the Mahitney U statistical analysis by the mean rank and the sum of the mean ranks.

Table 3

MannWhitney U Results: Grade Ranks and Significance (All Cour 2024) 2020-

G r op	N	Mea	Swf Ra
0	102	96.96	9695.50
1	102	105.00	10605.50

Figure 1 displaye results of the Spearman s Rho correlation between subjects

Table 4 listhe frequency earned grades of treatment group preticipalnts subject Grades that were identified as S, W, I, or U were excluded from data analysis. Participants who received a grade of A, B, C, D, or F were also included.

Table 4

Frequency of Treatment Group (All Groups). Participant Ear#e)d Grade (A

T r eat Frequency of Earned GradEs (A						
Grade	BIO 110	<b>CHEM 103</b>	CHEM 111	MATH 124	MATH 131	
<b>A</b> =	37	11	9	5	3	
<b>B</b> =	47	14	12	6	1	
<b>C</b> =	33	8	10	5	0	
D=	0	1	0	0	0	
<b>F</b> =	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 6 displays the frequency and percentage of treatment group participants ear grade.

Table 6

Frequency and Percent of Treatment Group: Participant Earled Grade (A

Grade	Treatment Group Frequency and Percent		
<b>A</b> =	24 (22.8%)		
B=	30 (23.6%)		
C=	32 (25.6%)		
D=	18 (14.2%)		
<b>F</b> =	12 (10.2%)		
Total	116		

NoteNine participant scores not calculated by SPSS. Reason not specified. Over 72% of participants earned a letter grad or higher.

Doi

Tutorial Services conducted qualitative analyses of sulfveys focused on student recorded feedback of tutoring services provided. Questions on the survey included the for instrumentation for data collection, format of tutoring session, satisfaction with tutoring and tutor, perceived assistance and target goal reached, perceived, or observed raise in legrade because of the tutoring session/s attended, the implacted instruction for services with Tutorial Services, and the probability of a student recommendation for Services. The intent for Tutorial Services staff was to identify dominant themes that emer from recorded responses by students from the surveys, to measure student perceptions of effectiveness of their tutoring sessions. Tables 7 through 9 display the results from stude surveys throughout the 2002/20 academic year

## Table 7

Student Feedback defenson and Online Sessions With-COXH) ustments

with your tutor:					
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Please rate the level at which you agree with the following statement:	n = 2	n = 0	n = 0	n = 12	n = 45
I received the assistance that I came to the tutoring session for.		(0.00%)	(0.00%)	(20.00%)	

Survey	Yes	No
<b>Questions:</b>		
Do you feel tha		
coming to		
<b>Tutorial Services</b>		
will help you	n = 19	n = 1
raise your letter	(95.00%)	(5.00%)
grade in your	(	(*******)
class?		
After using		
Tutorial		
Services, did		
you observe a	n = 15	n = 4
letter grade	(50.050/)	(21.050/)
improvement in	(78.95%)	(21.05%)
your class?		

	Exam	Project	EndofSemeste Grade	Other
Was your lette grade specifically				
improved for an	n = 5	n = 5	n = 3	n = 3
exam, project, endofsemester	(31.25%)	(31.25%)	(18.75%)	(18.75%)
grade, or other?				
(Please select all that apply)				

NoteStudents indicate the perceived and observed effect of Tutorial Services on thei0.4259.44 4004 (t)e

The baseline study conducted for this annual review was designed to effectively me the impact of studintir interactions intreoring session on studentsemilester grades. Not included in this evaluation was a cross tabulation analysis that measured for statistical significance in correlation between race/ethnicitymesdeengrades, and possible correlation between genderofischereder grades. Although these variables are important, they were beyond the scopenhfathics and when calculated, no statistical difference was found with gender, race/ethnicity, and fatenhest angrades. A significantly sharp drop in attendance differinges sessions in AY 2020-was evident in the reported number in the drop in attendance of the drop i

the last seven semesters, consistently outperformed their peer fellow students enrolled in same course for thetfinst within thatle semester. More importantly, the rejection of the null hypothesis for the second research question, strongly indicates that TS is outperform national standard posited in research and practice pertaining to the frequency of visits at of semester grades. Students who came tot is spring, regardless of the number of visits, earned higher endemester grades than those who did not come TS. The findings from analysis used in answering the second research question differ from scholarship and other research studies focused on front further and student endemester grades, in that students from each of the seven semesters selected for this baseline evaluation, recorded a majori and B letter grades for all five individual subjects. This point is strengthened by, and is a with, a large majority of students recorded responsestings of free subjects indicating higher earned grades in projects, exams, as well as grades.

Two recurring and dominant themes were identified through qualitative analysis. On students that came seeking acadetuic ping rsupport believe that they will benefit through higher arned letter grades by attending tutoring. Second, students belief that having attending at TS h

## Ftr e Di r ecto

It is with great confidence that staff at Tutorial Services believe that newly created delivery platforms that complement existing deliver platforms, will greathly be the fit undergo students as the university moves to 100% capacity this fall. The online tutoring and supprints truction platforms created out of necessity in the spring semester of 2020, have become valuable options for students to benefit from, moving forward. Anticipation of student necessity for parson tutoring assistance has moved Tutorial Services to prepare to receive humbers of students for this hadroing tutoring, and appointment tutoring throughout the day.

Lastly, a majforcus for TS staff in AY 2022, will be to evaluate TS Supplemental Instruction (SI) services, also measured throughest grades using archived data and a comparison and treatment group. It is a major goal of TS to strengtherationisting coll with faculty who partner with SI leaders to help students in class, as well as to create new collaborations based on evidence supporting the need for students that arings aff peer SI support to help students successfully and independently navigate their academic traject In addition to evaluating SI services, physics will be added to the five courses already inclined in this baseline evaluation funtaring.